



RESEARCH PAPER

Characterization of the three *Arabidopsis thaliana* RAD21 cohesins reveals differential responses to ionizing radiation

J. A. da Costa-Nunes^{1,4}, A. M. Bhatt¹, S. O'Shea¹, C. E. West², C. M. Bray³, U. Grossniklaus⁴ and H. G. Dickinson^{1,*}

¹ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3RB, UK

² Centre for Plant Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK

⁴ Institut für Pflanzenbiologie und Zürich–Basel Plant Science Centre, Universität Zürich, Zollikerstrasse 107, CH-8008 Zürich, Switzerland

Received 28 July 2005; Accepted 4 December 2005

Abstract

The *RAD21/REC8* gene family has been implicated in sister chromatid cohesion and DNA repair in several organisms. Unlike most eukaryotes, *Arabidopsis thaliana* has three *RAD21* gene homologues, and their cloning and characterization are reported here. All three genes, *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, and *AtRAD21.3*, are expressed in tissues rich in cells undergoing cell division, and *AtRAD21.3* shows the highest relative level of expression. An increase in steady-state levels of *AtRAD21.1* transcript was also observed, specifically after the induction of DNA damage. Phenotypic analysis of the *atr21.1* and *atr21.3* mutants revealed that neither of the single mutants was lethal, probably due to the redundancy in function of the *AtRAD21* genes. However, *AtRAD21.1* plays a critical role in recovery from DNA damage during seed imbibition, prior to germination, as *atr21.1* mutant seeds are hypersensitive to radiation damage.

Key words: *AtRAD21*, *Arabidopsis*, cohesins, DNA-damage, double-strand-break, ionizing radiation, seed.

Introduction

The maintenance of genome integrity is dependent on accurate chromosome segregation and repair of DNA damage during the cell cycle. Both processes are essential

for the faithful transmission of the genetic information to daughter cells and for the survival of the organism. In yeast (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *RAD21/SCC1/MCD1/CHO-2* (from now on referred as *RAD21*) is one of the genes involved in these essential functions since it has been implicated not only in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992; Boulton *et al.*, 2002) but also in sister chromatid cohesion in mitotic cells (Guacci *et al.*, 1997; Michaelis *et al.*, 1997; Chan *et al.*, 2003; Mito *et al.*, 2003). *REC8*, the meiotic homologue of *RAD21* has also been found to be essential for chromosome cohesion and DNA DSB repair in meiotic cells (Molnar *et al.*, 1995; Klein *et al.*, 1999; Watanabe and Nurse, 1999). Genes belonging to the *RAD21/REC8* gene family have been identified in several organisms, from yeast to humans (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992; Molnar *et al.*, 1995; McKay *et al.*, 1996; Guacci *et al.*, 1997; Michaelis *et al.*, 1997; Heo *et al.*, 1998; Losada *et al.*, 1998; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999; Klein *et al.*, 1999; Watanabe and Nurse, 1999; Warren *et al.*, 2000; Dong *et al.*, 2001; Pasierbek *et al.*, 2001) supporting their central role in cell division. Unlike most organisms, which have only one mitotic *RAD21* gene, *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) (Pasierbek *et al.*, 2001), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*; Dong *et al.*, 2001; this study), and rice (*Oryza* spp.) possess three different *RAD21*-like genes. By contrast, both *Arabidopsis* (Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999; Mercier *et al.*, 2003) and *C. elegans* (Pasierbek *et al.*, 2001) have

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hugh.dickinson@plants.ox.ac.uk

only one *REC8* gene important for both sister chromatid cohesion and DNA DSB repair during meiosis.

In several organisms, the *RAD21* gene is transcribed and translated in a cell cycle-regulated fashion since sister chromatid cohesion has to be established when *RAD21* (as part of the cohesion complex) is loaded on to the nascent sister chromatids during S-phase with the assistance of other proteins; ultimately, the loading of this cohesin complex maintains cohesion between the two sister chromatids (Guacci *et al.*, 1997; Michaelis *et al.*, 1997; Uhlmann and Nasmyth, 1998; Skibbens *et al.*, 1999; Tóth *et al.*, 1999; Ciosk *et al.*, 2000; Mayer *et al.*, 2001). In budding yeast, *Xenopus*, and humans this complex has been shown to contain *RAD21*, and three other proteins (SMC1, SMC3, and SCC3) (Guacci *et al.*, 1997; Michaelis *et al.*, 1997; Losada *et al.*, 1998, 2000; Tóth *et al.*, 1999; Sumara *et al.*, 2000). In fission yeast the cohesin complex lacks SCC3 (Tomonaga *et al.*, 2000).

The cohesion between sister chromatids is maintained until the metaphase-to-anaphase transition, when serine residues at the *RAD21* cleavage sites are phosphorylated (Alexandru *et al.*, 2001) and targeted for proteolytic cleavage by the separase ESP1 (Ciosk *et al.*, 1998; Uhlmann *et al.*, 1999, 2000; Waizenegger *et al.*, 2000; Hauf *et al.*, 2001). The cleavage releases the ties between the two sister chromatids allowing them to be separated to opposite directions by the mitotic spindle.

In addition to their function in chromosome segregation *RAD21*, and its meiotic homologue *REC8*, have also been implicated in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992; Klein *et al.*, 1999). The fission yeast *rad21-45* mutant is viable under normal growth conditions but is hypersensitive to DNA damage (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992), similarly budding yeast *RAD21* mutants are also sensitive to gamma rays (Heo *et al.*, 1998). Finally, the depletion of cohesins from *Xenopus* cells and *RAD21* from chicken cells also results in the persistence of chromosomal breaks in gamma ray irradiated cells (Losada *et al.*, 1998; Sonoda *et al.*, 2001), thus suggesting a role for *RAD21* in DNA DSB repair after DSB induction. Further support for the role of *RAD21* in DNA DSB repair comes from the observation that in yeast cells *RAD21* is specifically recruited to the DNA damaged sites after induction of DSB in G_2 (Ström *et al.*, 2004; Ünal *et al.*, 2004). Previously, Kim *et al.* (2002) had also shown that, in human cells, SMC1 (a component of the cohesin complex) is also recruited by DNA repair proteins to the DNA DSB damaged sites.

It is currently thought that the *RAD21* DNA DSB repair activity is due to its cohesin function ensuring correct chromosome topology and physical proximity of the sister chromatids (in the S and G_2 phases), hence facilitating DNA repair, rather than to a catalytic function of *RAD21* in DNA repair. This is supported by data from Sjögren and Nasmyth (2001) showing that *RAD21 per se* attached to the

DNA of non-joined sister chromatids is not enough to repair DNA DSB. Despite these associations between *RAD21* and DNA repair, very few reports have been published on how *RAD21* expression responds to DNA damaging agents. According to McKay *et al.* (1996) expression of the human *RAD21* gene is not enhanced by exposure of diploid fibroblasts to DNA damaging agents like gamma radiation.

In this study, the cloning of three different *RAD21* cDNAs from *Arabidopsis*, their expression profile and differential response to DNA damage are described as well as reporting the phenotype of the *atrad21.1* mutant after exposure to ionizing radiation.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The *ap1-1/cal-1* double mutant (Landsberg *erecta*) and T-DNA lines salk_044851 and salk_076116 (Alonso *et al.*, 2003) were obtained from the Nottingham *Arabidopsis* Stock Centre (<http://nasc.nott.ac.uk/>). Seedlings were grown on germination medium plates (GM; Gibco-BRL) in a growth cabinet (Sanyo Gallenkamp PLC Fi-Totron TC) with a light cycle of 16 h at 22.5 °C alternating with 8 h of darkness at 19.5 °C; seedling tissue was collected 7 d after germination. For the remaining samples, tissue was collected from plants grown on soil (1:1 v:v mixture of compost and vermiculite; Levington Universal Extra Compost, Levington, UK, and Silvaperl Vermiperl medium grade, respectively) and grown in a Sanyo Gallenkamp PLC growth cabinet in 16 h of light at 20 °C alternating with 8 h of darkness at 15 °C. Plant transformation was carried out by dipping inflorescences (Clough and Bent, 1998) in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 containing pMP90RK. Plant transformants were selected on GM medium containing 30 µg ml⁻¹ hygromycin.

DNA damage assays (ionizing radiation and UV)

Arabidopsis plants at 23-d-old were irradiated with 30 krad (300 Gy at a rate of 2.6 Gy min⁻¹) of gamma rays using ¹³⁷Ce (RX30 55M Irradiation, Graviton Industries Ltd.). *Arabidopsis* imbibed and stratified seeds and 5-d-old seedlings grown on GM medium were irradiated with 0, 50, 100, and 150 Gy (3.6 Gy min⁻¹) of X-rays using tungsten (Müller MG 150, Philips PW 2184/00, Monitor SN4). Aerial tissues were collected 1 h after irradiation and used for RNA extraction as described in Doutriaux *et al.* (1998). UV-B irradiation of 3–4-week-old plants was carried out as in West *et al.* (2000). Hypersensitivity of plants to bleomycin was assayed as described in West *et al.* (2002).

RNA extraction

Total RNA was extracted using guanidine hydrochloride (Logemann *et al.*, 1987), Trizol (Gibco-BRL) or a RNeasy Plant Qiagen total RNA extraction kit. Poly(A) RNA was purified from inflorescences using the PolyAtract® mRNA Isolation System IV (Promega).

Cloning of AtRAD21 cDNAs

General molecular biology protocols were used as described in Sambrook *et al.* (1989). Primers for amplifying and sequencing *AtRAD21* cDNAs were based on the gene predictions obtained from the *Arabidopsis* sequencing consortium, Genscan (<http://bioweb.pasteur.fr/seqanal/interfaces/genscan.html>) and NetGene2 programs (<http://genome.cbs.dtu.dk>). Total RNA was treated with RNase free

DNase I (Gibco-BRL) and 1 µg of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis as described in the manufacturer's protocols (AMV Reverse transcription: Promega; Superscript™ II Gibco-BRL). Full-length cDNAs for *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, and *AtRAD21.3* were amplified (35 cycles) with *Taq*/Pwo using primers CR1 (5'-ATGTTT-TACTCGCATTGTCTAG) and CR10 (5'-GACACATATACTTTA-GATCGTC) for *AtRAD21.1*, primers ACO5 (5'-ATGTTT-TTCACATACGCTTTTGG) and ACO3 (5'-TCACGTTTGAACCTAGAAAAAAG) for *AtRAD21.2*, and primers ATRAD21-3-5 (5'-AGGAATGTTTATTTCGACGTTTATA) and ATRAD21-3-3 (5'-ACCAAAGTAGCTATCTGCAACTATATG) for *AtRAD21.3*, respectively. An aliquot of each PCR reaction was reamplified, using the same primers, to increase the amount of PCR product, which were subsequently cloned in the pGEM-T Easy (Promega) vector and sequenced. Sequence data was assembled from overlapping PCR products, spanning the entire length of the cDNA. PCR products used to sequence the junction between the 9th intron and the 10th exon in the two variants of *AtRAD21.1* were amplified with 35 PCR cycles using primers CR11 (5'-CAAGCTTTTGTGGTCTGGA) and CR22 (5'-CCACAGGAACCCCTAAAGATA). The products of the *AtRAD21.1* splice variants were cloned in the pGEM-T Easy (Promega) vector, and sequenced.

5'-RACE and 3'-RACE products

cDNA synthesis for 5'-RACE and 3'-RACE was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions (GIBCO-BRL 5'-RACE kit version 2.0 or 5'/3'-RACE Kit Roche-Boehringer Mannheim). 5'-RACE of *AtRAD21.1* was performed using the Abridged Anchor Primer (5'-GGCCACGCGTCGACTAGTACGGGIIIGGGII GGGIIG; GIBCO-BRL) and 5HOM1 (5'-GCTGCATCTCTTCGCA-CTTG). A second PCR was performed using Abridged Universal Amplification Primer primer (AUAP; 5'-GGCCACGCGTCGAC-TAGTAC) and 5HOM2 (5'-CTCTTCCATGTCAAACCTTTCC). 3'-RACE of *AtRAD21.1* was obtained with the Adaptor primer (5'-GACTCGAGTCGACATCGATTTTTTTTTTTTTT) and 3HOM1 (5'-GGAAAGGTTTGACATGGAA), followed by a second PCR with 3HOM2 (5'-CATGAGACTTTCTCTACCA) and the same adaptor primer. 5'-RACE of *AtRAD21.2* was carried out with the oligo d(T) anchor primer (5'-GACCACGCGTATCGATGTCGA-CTTTTTTTTTTTTTT) and 5CO-1.5 primer (5'-CCAAGTC-GAACTCGTCCAGAT). The PCR products were used for a second PCR using the adaptor primer (5'-GACCACGCGTATCGATGTC-GAC) and 5CO-2 (5'-GCTTGAGGCAAGTAACAGAC). A third PCR reaction was required with the 5CO-4 primer (5'-GGAAACAT-TATATTATCAACAGTG) and the adaptor primer. 3'-RACE of *AtRAD21.2* was performed as follows, cDNA was synthesized using oligo-dT Anchor primer (5'-GACCACGCGTATCGATGTCGACT-TTTTTTTTTTTTTT), subsequently 3'-RACE cDNA was amplified using the adaptor primer (5'-GACCACGCGTATCGATGTCGAC) and 3CO-1 (5'-CTGGAGGTAGGTGGCAATTC), the amplification products were subjected to a second PCR with 3CO-2 (5'-GGGA-GAGCAAGAGCCTTGG) and the adaptor primer (5'-GACCAC-GCGTATCGATGTCGAC). These products were cloned in the pGEM-T Easy (Promega) vector, and sequenced. Similarly, the 5' and 3'-UTR of *AtRAD21.3* were amplified using the following gene-specific primers 5AT3-1 (5'-GGCACCTCAACTAATCCAGG), 5AT3-2 (5'-GCAAGATCTTCAACCTGCTCT) and 5AT3-3 (5'-GCGTTGTGATCAATACCTGGA) for 5'-RACE, and 3AT3-1 (5'-GGGAGACAATGATGAAATGGATA) and 3AT3-2 (5'-GCACAT-GACACAGGATTTTTTGA) for 3'-RACE.

Predicted protein analysis

Protein sequence prediction and analysis was carried out with the Translate tool from Expasy (<http://www.expasy.ch/tools/dna.html>), PSORT (<http://psort.nibb.ac.jp/>), PROSITE (<http://www.expasy.ch/>)

tools/scanprosite), and PESTfind (<https://embl.bcc.univie.ac.at/content/view/21/45>). Protein alignment was carried out with the program ClustalX1.8 (Person *et al.*, 1997). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the program Phylip 3.5 (Felsenstein, 1993).

Northern analysis

Total RNA was subjected to electrophoresis on a 1.2% formaldehyde agarose gel, blotted onto Hybond-NX membrane (Amersham Pharmacia) and crosslinked by UV at 70 000 µjoules cm⁻². cDNA probes (PCR products) specific for the *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, *AtRAD21.3*, *AtRAD51*, and β -*tubulin* gene family were radiolabelled with α -³²P dCTP by random priming, and RNA filters were sequentially hybridized with *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.3*, and, finally, β -*tubulin* probe, with *AtRAD51* followed by the β -*tubulin* probe, or *AtRAD21.2* and the β -*tubulin* probe. All filters were washed at high stringency and hybridization signals were detected by autoradiography with Kodak X-Omat X-ray film (Kodak).

Knock-out lines genotyping

T-DNA SALK line salk_044851 was genotyped using the primers LBA1 (5'-TGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCG) (Alonso *et al.*, 2003) and 51L (5'-GAGATGGTCACACAGAGAATTAG), RBA1 (5'-GTGCAATCCATCTTGTTCATCATG) and 51R (5'-CTCCT-CTCAGGACAGTCAGTATG). The T-DNA line salk_076116 was genotyped using the primers LBA1 and 16L (5'-CTGTGTCATGTG-CATTTTCCATGG), RB1 (5'-ATTAGGCACCCAGGCTTTA-CACCTTATG) (McElver *et al.*, 2001), and 16R (5'-CCGTGT-AGAGGATTTACAGTTG). Plant DNA was extracted using the Edwards *et al.* (1991) method.

Expression analysis by semi-quantitative RT-PCR

1 µg of total RNA (DNase I-treated) was used for the cDNA synthesis using gene-specific primers for each *AtRAD21* gene. 10 µl of the (1:10 dilution of the) cDNA synthesis reaction was added to the 40 µl of the PCR mix and the PCR reaction carried out for 25 amplification cycles (20 cycles for the β -*tubulin* gene family amplification). *AtRAD21-1* 498 bp PCR product amplified with primers: CR1 and 5HOM2; *AtRAD21.2* 530 bp PCR product amplified with primers: ACO5 and 5CO6 (5'-GGTCTGTGATTGGTCGAC); *AtRAD21.3* 701 bp PCR product amplified with primers: ATRAD21-3-5 and AT5 (5'-GATCTTCAACCTGCTCTTC); β -*tubulin*-specific PCR products were amplified as controls with primers: TUB-R (5'-GTGAACCT-CATCTCGTCCAT); TUB-L (5'-CCTGATAACTTCGTCTTTGG) (Knight *et al.*, 1999). 30 µl of each PCR reaction was used for Southern analysis, and the hybridization signals were analysed using a Phosphorimager (Bio-Rad). For each tissue, four independent total RNA samples were used to synthesize the four independent cDNA synthesis reactions (RT-PCR analysis). In addition to the Phosphor-imager analysis, autoradiography was also performed using Kodak X-Omat×ray film (Kodak).

RT-PCR analysis of knock-out mutants

Expression analysis of the mutants was carried out with the following primers for T-DNA line salk_044851; upstream of T-DNA insertion: CR1 and CR4 (5'-TCTAGAGTACTCATCTCAGG); downstream of T-DNA insertion: CR11 and CR22. For the T-DNA line salk_076116 the following primers were used; Upstream of T-DNA insertion: ATRAD21-3-5 and ATUP (5'-GGCTTCAGAGACTCCTTCCAT-CG); downstream of T-DNA insertion: ATRAD21-3-3 and ATDW (5'-CGTCTTCTAGAAAACAGTGGATGG). TUB-L and TUB-R primers were also used. 4 µl of the (1:1 dilution of the) cDNA synthesis reaction was added to the 21 µl of the PCR mix and the PCR reaction carried out for 28 amplification cycles (30 cycles for the *AtRAD21.1* gene).

Southern analysis

Agarose gels were blotted to Hybond NX (Amersham Pharmacia) and DNA was crosslinked with UV at 70 000 $\mu\text{joules cm}^{-2}$, as described in Sambrook *et al.* (1989). Southern blot membranes were hybridized with the radiolabelled *AtRAD21* gene-specific probes and the β -tubulin probe. Hybridization and washes were carried out at high stringency.

DIF1 promoter-uidA fusion

A 535 nucleotide PCR product corresponding to the 520 nucleotides of the *DIF1* promoter and codons for the first five amino acids of *DIF1/SYN1* was cloned upstream of the *uidA* gene, replacing the CaMV 35S promoter of pSLJ4D4 (Jones *et al.*, 1992) to generate a *DIF1-uidA* translational fusion. The PCR amplification introduced a *NcoI* site, and also altered an alternative splice site identified in *DIF1/SYN1* (Bai *et al.*, 1999). The promoter-*uidA* fusion was subcloned into the binary vector pVKH18 (Batoko *et al.*, 2000) and introduced into *Arabidopsis* plants by the inflorescence dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). 26 independent transformants were analysed for *uidA* expression as described in Jefferson *et al.* (1987) and Rodrigues-Pousada *et al.* (1993).

Results

Arabidopsis has three AtRAD21 genes

Three genes homologous to *REC8/RAD21* were identified by BLAST searches of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome sequence (<http://www.kazusa.or.jp/kaos/> and <http://www.mips.gsf.de/thal/db>) (The *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative, 2000) queried with the *Arabidopsis* REC8 homologue. Two of the *RAD21* homologues are on chromosome V, *AtRAD21.1* (At5g40840) and *AtRAD21.3* (At5g16270), while the third, *AtRAD21.2* (At3g59550) is on chromosome III. The genomic sequences of *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, and *AtRAD21.3* cDNA span the nucleotides 34060–38168 from the P1 clone MHK7 (accession number AB011477),

20212–23446 of the BAC T16L24 clone (accession number AL138659), and 60806–66353 of BAC clone T21H19 (accession number AL391148), respectively.

The predicted sequence of *AtRAD21.1* (wild type, Col-0) was confirmed by cloning and sequencing the full-length cDNAs, and two variants were identified which differ by a single amino acid due to an extra codon at the junction of the 9th intron and the 10th exon (variant 1; accession number AF400126). The extra codon inserts a glutamine at position 716 (⁷¹⁶Q) in the longer *AtRAD21.1* variant. Both transcripts are represented equally in both open and unopened flower RNA as approximately 50% of the clones sequenced are of each class. The adjacent 5'-untranslated region (5'-UTR) and 3'-UTR sequences were also cloned and sequenced (70 bp and 184 bp, respectively). Both *AtRAD21.1* variants ORF contain 13 exons, spanning 2430 bp (variant 2–2427 bp) and encode a predicted protein of 810 amino acids (aa) (variant 2–809 aa) (Fig. 1).

The cDNAs corresponding to *AtRAD21.2* and *AtRAD21.3* (Col-0) were also cloned and sequenced, along with their 5'- and 3'-UTR sequences. *AtRAD21.2* has a 208 bp long 5'-UTR and a 185 bp 3'-UTR, and contains 12 exons and is 2079 bp long. *AtRAD21.2* encodes a predicted protein of 693 aa (accession number AF400128) (Fig. 1).

AtRAD21.3 (Col-0) has a 227 bp long 5'-UTR and a 281 bp long 3'-UTR and is encoded by 15 exons and is 3093 bp long. *AtRAD21.3* encodes a predicted protein of 1031 aa (accession number AF400129) (Fig. 1).

Sequence analysis of AtRAD21 proteins

The two variants of *AtRAD21.1* differ by a single amino acid that does not significantly alter their predicted properties. PSORT analysis of *AtRAD21.1* identified two potential nuclear localizing signals (NLS) (⁴⁶⁰RKRK⁴⁶³




Fig. 1. Schematic representations of *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, and *AtRAD21.3* genes. Exons are shown as open boxes, and introns are shown as thick black lines. The 5'- and 3'-UTR of the three *RAD21* genes is shown by a thick grey line. Black arrowheads represent primers used for expression analysis by RT-PCR (CR1, ACO5, ATRAD21-3-5, 5HOM2, 5CO-6, AT5). The T-DNA insertion sites in the *AtRAD21* genes, *atrad21.1* (salk_044851) and *atrad21.3* (salk_076116) is shown by a large black triangle. The filled circles identify the putative separase target consensus sequence (S/D/xExxRx) (Hauf *et al.*, 2001) in *AtRAD21.1* that are predicted to be cleaved by the putative *Arabidopsis* separase. The numbers in the sequences depict the amino acid residue number in the protein sequence.

and ⁴⁹⁰KRRNPHTDCPERRTKRF⁵⁰⁷). AtRAD21.2 has two predicted NLS (³⁵⁵RKRK³⁵⁸ and ³⁸³RKRKK³⁸⁷) (PSORT). The largest of the three *Arabidopsis* RAD21 homologues, AtRAD21.3, has a single predicted NLS (⁵⁴⁶KRLRSAPRSTATKRKVL⁵⁶²; PSORT analysis). All three proteins have several phosphorylation sites each (PROSITE). These characteristics are in agreement with the putative cohesion function of these proteins. However, none of the AtRAD21 proteins identified here have predicted serine phosphorylation sites important for cohesin cleavage (Alexandru *et al.*, 2001). Phosphorylation of serine residues in the ESP1 cleavage motif is necessary for the RAD21 cleavage that precedes loss of sister chromatid cohesion (Alexandru *et al.*, 2001). Although it was possible to identify putative consensus ESP1 cleavage motifs in AtRAD21.1 (¹⁴⁸SxExxRx¹⁵⁴; ¹⁹⁵DxExxRx²⁰¹, and ²¹⁸SxExxRx²²⁴) (Hauf *et al.*, 2001) and in the meiotic cohesin homologue DIF1/SYN1 (Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999) (¹²⁴DxExxRx¹³⁰), similar putative ESP1 cleavage motifs were not identified in AtRAD21.2 and AtRAD21.3. If, however, the consensus sequence for ESP1 cleavage has diverged at the first amino acid S/T/D/I in the cleavage motif-/T/D/IxExxRx, there are several putative ESP1 cleavage motifs in AtRAD21.2 and AtRAD21.3. All AtRAD21 proteins have several PEST sequences (Rechsteiner and Rogers, 1996), suggesting that these proteins are likely to be unstable (Rao *et al.*, 2001).

ClustalX1.8 alignment of the three AtRAD21 sequences with those of RAD21 proteins from other organisms show that the N and C termini are the most conserved (see supplementary Figs I and II at JXB online), as previously observed for RAD21 proteins identified in *Arabidopsis* and other organisms (McKay *et al.*, 1996; Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999; Watanabe and Nurse, 1999; Warren *et al.*, 2000). The conserved N and C terminal sequences of selected available plant, yeast, and animal REC8 and RAD21 were aligned with ClustalX1.8, and the data were used to generate a parsimony rooted tree with Phylip using AtSPO11-1 as the outgroup (see supplementary Fig. III at JXB online). Phylogenetic analysis of the three *Arabidopsis* RAD21 genes revealed that AtRAD21.2 (21AR2) and AtRAD21.3 (21AR3) both have closely related sequences in the rice genome, 21ORYZ3 and 21ORYZKAA, respectively, and occupy a position separate from DIF1/SYN1 (8AR) and the animal and yeast REC8 sequences. However, the relationship of AtRAD21.1 (21AR1) sequence to other RAD21 or REC8 protein sequences was not clear. Finally, the increase in number of RAD21 genes in relation to other model organisms is a common feature of both monocot and dicot genomes.

The three AtRAD21 genes are expressed in a wide variety of tissues

In order to determine and compare the expression profile of the three *AtRAD21* genes, their expressions in different

plant tissues were analysed. RT-PCR analysis of the three *AtRAD21* genes shows that all three are expressed in tissues rich in cells undergoing mitosis, namely 7-d-old seedlings, unopened flower buds, open flower buds, and the rapidly proliferating inflorescence meristem of the *ap1-1/cal-1* double mutant (Bowman, 1994) (Fig. 2). Although all three *AtRAD21* genes show overlapping patterns of expression, their relative levels of expression are not similar. In plants grown under normal growth conditions *AtRAD21.3* has the highest transcript level. It was possible to detect *AtRAD21.3* transcripts in 5 µg of total RNA from tissues of untreated (non-irradiated) seedlings (Fig. 3), whereas *AtRAD21.1* mRNA could only be detected in poly-A RNA samples of untreated inflorescences (not shown). A weak signal corresponding to the *AtRAD21.2* transcript could be detected when 10 µg of total RNA from seedlings was used for northern blot analysis (Fig. 3).

Ionizing radiation enhances AtRAD21.1 gene expression

Exposure of plants to DNA damage is known to increase transcript levels of genes implicated in DNA repair, and as *rad21* mutants identified for several different organisms are also sensitive to γ-rays (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992; Heo *et al.*, 1998; Losada *et al.*, 1998; Sonoda *et al.*, 2001), it was tested if γ-rays (and X-rays), expected to induce




Fig. 2. RT-PCR expression analysis of the three *AtRAD21* genes. *AtRAD21* genes are expressed in vegetative and reproductive tissues containing dividing cells, but at different levels of expression. Autoradiograph representing the expression of all three genes, as analysed by RT-PCR using RNA extracted from 7-d-old seedlings (S), unopened flower buds (UB), open flowers (OB), and *cauliflower-1/apetala1-1* inflorescence meristem tissue (C); a water control was also included in the analysis (H₂O) and two independent products for each tissue are shown. Primers specific for *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, *AtRAD21.3*, and the RT-PCR control – *β-tubulin* (Oppenheimer *et al.*, 1988; Snustad *et al.*, 1992; Knight *et al.*, 1999) were used to amplify the corresponding cDNAs (Fig. 1) and 30 µl of each PCR reaction was loaded per well; these products were subsequently used for Southern hybridization with the corresponding radiolabelled probes to produce the autoradiographs shown. The analysis was performed with RT-PCR products from eight independent cDNA samples and a representative result is shown; each autoradiograph was exposed to the RT-PCR products for different periods.




Fig. 3. Transcript levels of *AtRAD21.1* increase in plants exposed to ionizing radiation. The steady-state mRNA levels of *AtRAD21.1* increase 1 h after ionizing radiation treatment, while *AtRAD21.3* transcript levels remains mostly unchanged and *AtRAD21.2* transcript levels are reduced. Autoradiographs show the steady-state RNA levels of *AtRAD21.1* (a), *AtRAD21.2* (b), *AtRAD21.3* (c), *AtRAD51* (d), and β -tubulin (e–g) in the aerial parts of 3-week-old seedlings exposed to 300 Gy of gamma rays (γ -rays) and in unexposed controls. Wild-type plants from Columbia-0 (C) and Landsberg *erecta* (L) ecotype were used for the analysis, and the ethidium bromide-stained gels showing equal loading are shown in (h)–(j). 5 μ g of total RNA sample was loaded in each well, except for *AtRAD21.2*, for which 10 μ g total RNA was used. RNA samples were extracted from aerial part of 3-week-old plants that had the following treatments: C, wild type Columbia ecotype (Col-0) non-irradiated; L, wild-type Landsberg ecotype (Lands.) non-irradiated; γ -rays C, Col-0 irradiated once with 300 Gy of gamma radiation; γ -rays L, Lands. irradiated once with 300 Gy of gamma radiation. The molecular weight marker (M) used was a 0.24–9.5 kb Gibco BRL RNA ladder. Autoradiographs for *AtRAD21.1*, *AtRAD21.2*, *AtRAD21.3*, and *AtRAD51* were developed after exposure for 16 h, 14 d, 3 d and 16 h, respectively.

DNA DSB damage, could also alter the expression levels of any of the *RAD21* genes in *Arabidopsis*.

There were specific differences in the expression of different *AtRAD21* genes after exposure to ionizing radiation. Steady-state mRNA levels for *AtRAD21.1* increase in the aerial tissues of wild-type plants 1 h after irradiation with ionizing radiation (γ -rays and X-rays) (Figs 3 and 4, respectively). The increase in *AtRAD21.1* transcript after irradiation with γ -rays parallels the increased transcript levels of *AtRAD51*, a gene involved in the homologous recombination of broken double-stranded DNA (Klimyuk and Jones, 1997; Doutriaux *et al.*, 1998). By contrast, steady-state mRNA levels for *AtRAD21.2* were reduced in

γ -ray irradiated plants, while the *AtRAD21.3* expression pattern was not significantly altered after exposure to γ -rays (300 Gy). To determine if the response of *AtRAD21.1* was specific to DNA DSB and not a broader response to DNA damage (ionizing radiation, X-rays and γ -rays, ultimately causes both double and single DNA strand breaks via oxidative stress), wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants were exposed to ultraviolet (UV-B) radiation. UV-B indirectly causes single-strand DNA breaks (rather than DSBs) through the formation of pyrimidine dimers and oxidative damage products which are substrates for excision repair pathways (Britt, 1999). It was found that *AtRAD21.1* expression was not altered after plants were exposed to




Fig. 4. Characterization of the *atrada21.1* and *atrada21.3* mutant lines. T-DNA insertions in both *atrada21.1* and *atrada21.3* homozygous mutants truncate corresponding *atrada21* transcripts. (a) PCR products indicating insertion of the T-DNA into the gene *AtRAD21.3* (primer pair LB 16L) and *AtRAD21.1* (primer pair LB 51L). The truncated *atrada21.3* transcript detected in mutant *atrada21.3* plants includes part of the T-DNA sequence. (b) top panel: Expression of the truncated *atrada21.1* transcript is still increased after exposure of the *atrada21.1* mutant to 100 Gy of ionizing radiation (X-rays), but this truncated transcript contains only sequences upstream of the T-DNA insertion site. The reduced expression detected downstream of the mutant *atrada21.1* gene could be caused by a cryptic T-DNA promoter. Bottom panel: PCR control reaction with primers specific to the *AtRAD21.3* gene showing that an equivalent amount of template from each sample was used in all four PCR reactions. (c) The *atrada21.3* mutant expresses a truncated *atrada21.3* transcript that includes sequences up to, and upstream of the T-DNA insertion site, but does not include sequences downstream of the T-DNA insertion site. β -tubulin primers used as control. In (a), (b), and (c) the arrow indicates the (top) contaminant genomic band. (d) Schematic representation of the T-DNA insertions and of the primers. LB and RB – T-DNA left and right border, respectively (in bold); primers for T-DNA left and right border (LB, RB); primers specific to *AtRAD21.1* – CR1, CR4, 51L, 51R, CR22, CR11; primers specific to *AtRAD21.3* – ATRAD21-3-5, ATUP, 16L, 16R, ATDW, ATR21-3-3; primers for β -tubulin gene family (control) – TUBL, TUBR. Samples: genomic samples (GEN); cDNA of 5-d-old seedlings in (a) and (b); cDNA samples of inflorescences in (c). 1 (*atrada21.1* homozygous mutant), 3 (*atrada21.3* homozygous mutant); C (Columbia-0 plants). 0G and 100G (5-d-old seedlings samples non-irradiated and irradiated with 100 Gy of ionizing radiation (X-rays), respectively).

UV-B radiation (data not shown). This suggests that the increase in steady-state mRNA levels of *AtRAD21.1* was a specific response to DSB DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation.

Mutants of AtRAD21.1, but not AtRAD21.3, are sensitive to ionizing radiation

To assess the function provided by the *AtRAD21* genes, lines with T-DNA insertions (Alonso *et al.*, 2003) in *AtRAD21.1* and *AtRAD21.3* were characterized. The insertion mutant for *AtRAD21.1* (salk_044851) has a T-DNA inserted in the 6th exon of the gene, likely to disrupt expression of *AtRAD21.1*. However, despite this insertion, *atrada21.1* mutants have no obvious developmental phenotype. *atrada21.1* mutant plants are fertile, produce viable seeds and undergo normal vegetative growth. Sequencing

of the left and right borders of the T-DNA insertion site showed that the T-DNA insert is (Fig. 1) accompanied by an 8 bp deletion in the 6th exon of *AtRAD21.1*. Furthermore, *AtRAD21.1* expression analysis in the homozygous mutant shows that the T-DNA insertion prevents expression of the full-length *AtRAD21.1* transcript (Fig. 4). As *AtRAD21.1* mRNA levels are increased after ionizing irradiation, it seems possible that *AtRAD21.1* could have a role in repairing DNA damage and, consequently, loss of *AtRAD21.1* may make mutant plants hypersensitive to DNA DSB damage. Therefore *atrada21.1* mutants were exposed to DNA damage by growing seedlings on GM containing $1 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ and $2 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of bleomycin (which causes single- and double-strand breaks in DNA), but did not observe any increase in sensitivity (data not shown). The radiation sensitivity of *atrada21.1* and wild-type plants

was also tested by exposing plants to X-rays at two different stages of development, either as seeds or as 5-d-old seedlings. Both seeds and seedlings were exposed to several different doses of X-rays (0, 50, 100, and 150 Gy) and their growth response was analysed after a fixed period. A hypersensitive response was consistently observed in *atrada21.1* seeds exposed to sub-lethal doses of X-rays (Fig. 5); plants grown from irradiated *atrada21.1* seeds also displayed a decrease in the number of true leaves and therefore showed a slight developmental delay when compared with seedlings germinated from wild-type irradiated seeds (see supplementary Fig. IV at JXB online). Surprisingly, when 5-d-old seedlings of *atrada21.1* mutants were irradiated, rather than seeds, they did not show an increased sensitivity to radiation, compared with wild-type seedlings. This suggests that the function of *AtRAD21.1* in

DNA DSB repair is essential in seeds during imbibing/germination, but not in germinated seedlings.

As *AtRAD21.3* has the highest steady-state mRNA levels amongst all three *AtRAD21* genes, it seemed likely that it might provide an essential mitotic function. Hence, a T-DNA insertion in *AtRAD21.3* from the SALK T-DNA population (salk_076116) was also characterized. It was confirmed that the insertion of the T-DNA (with two left borders) in the 11th exon of *AtRAD21.3* (Fig. 1) is associated with a 9 bp deletion. This insertion also disrupts *AtRAD21.3* transcript integrity (Fig. 4). The loss of *AtRAD21.3* function does not result in lethality or infertility, but mutant plants develop slightly slower than wild type and, consequently, start to flower (bolting) a bit later than the wild type (data not shown). As *AtRAD21.3* transcript persists after irradiation with γ -rays, *atrada21.3*




Fig. 5. Radiation hypersensitive phenotype of *atrada21.1* mutants. Seeds of wild-type Columbia (Col-0) plants, and *atrada21.1*, and *atrada21.3* mutants were irradiated (100 Gy) and germinated on GM medium. Growth of the irradiated seeds was compared to unirradiated samples (0 Gy) 15 and 30 d after treatment. No differences are seen 15 d after irradiation of w.t. Col (Col-0) and the two different *atrada21* mutants, apart from the general delay in development of irradiated plants. However, a phenotype was evident when plants were allowed to grow longer, and analysed 30 d after irradiation, it was clear that *atrada21.1* mutants were clearly more sensitive to irradiation than either *atrada21.3* or Col-0, which supports a role for *AtRAD21.1* in DNA DSB repair.

plants were also tested for sensitivity to DNA damage by exposing them (seeds and seedlings) to X-rays, or to $1 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ and $2 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of bleomycin, respectively, and no difference was found in the bleomycin and radiation sensitivity of wild-type and mutant plants (Fig. 5) (data not shown for the bleomycin assay).

The DIF1 promoter drives expression in reproductive and vegetative tissues

DIF1/SYN1, the *Arabidopsis* *RAD21* homologue essential only for meiosis, has been shown by RT-PCR to be expressed not only in flowers but also in vegetative tissue, namely seedlings (Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, in order to determine if *DIF1* expression in seedlings correlates with actively dividing mitotic cells, and hence if its expression overlaps with that expected of the other *AtRAD21* genes, the expression pattern of the 520 bp upstream sequence of *DIF1* fused to the *uidA* reporter gene, encoding β -glucuronidase (GUS) was investigated. This fragment contains the 5'-end of the *DIF1/SYN1* transcript that overlaps with a flanking divergently transcribed ORF of a glycoamidase-like gene (Bai *et al.*, 1999), and hence it is likely that this 520 bp promoter fragment retains elements important for *DIF1* expression. 26 independent transformants were generated and the tissue- and stage-specific expression of this reporter was examined in a subset of these, of which a representative sample is shown in Fig. 6. Of these 26 transformants, 11 stained in the young anther and root tips, 19 in the emerging lateral roots, and 21 in the shoot apical meristem region. Nine displayed a punctate-staining pattern in the basal part of the young leaves. As expected from its function during meiosis in anthers and ovules, reporter gene expression controlled by the *DIF1* promoter was seen in the anthers of flower buds at stages 7–10 (staging according to Smyth *et al.*, 1990) (Fig. 6a, b), however, it was not possible to detect reporter expression in ovules. In addition to the expression in reproductive organs, *GUS* expression was also seen in the root tips (Fig. 6c), in emerging lateral roots (Fig. 6d) and at the shoot apex (Fig. 6e). The reporter gene was also expressed in a punctate pattern in developing leaves (Fig. 6e). This suggests that *DIF1* expression may be associated with dividing cells in the shoot apexes and vegetative tissue, and may possibly overlap with the expression of the *AtRAD21* homologues.

AtRAD21.1 and AtRAD21.3 share redundant functions

Since two of the *AtRAD21* genes appear to be at least partially redundant for normal growth, attempts were made to isolate a double mutant to determine if this would result in a vegetative or reproductive phenotype, or affect the viability of a *atrada21.1 atrada21.3* double mutant. However, the *atrada21.1 atrada21.3* double mutants are normal in all aspects of their development and are fully fertile with normal seed set (data not shown). Thus, to unmask the




Fig. 6. *DIF1* promoter-*uidA* expression pattern is not restricted to flowers. The *DIF1* promoter-*uidA* fusion was detected using a chromogenic substrate. The reporter gene was expressed in buds at inflorescences stages 7–10 (a), specifically in the anthers (b); expression was also seen in the root-tip (c) and in emerging lateral roots (d) of 7-d-old transgenic seedlings. The β -glucuronidase protein encoded by the *uidA* reporter gene was also detected in young leaves (e) and around the shoot apex (e) of 7–10-d-old seedlings. Expression of *DIF1* could hence overlap the expression of the *AtRAD21* genes in vegetative meristematic tissues.

mitotic function of *RAD21* genes in *Arabidopsis* may require the generation of plants mutant for three or more *RAD21/REC8* genes.

Discussion

Structural features of the three Arabidopsis AtRAD21 proteins

Most organisms have been reported to have two *RAD21* homologous genes, a meiotic and a mitotic one (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992; Molnar *et al.*, 1995; McKay *et al.*, 1996; Guacci *et al.*, 1997; Michaelis *et al.*, 1997; Heo *et al.*, 1998; Losada *et al.*, 1998; Klein *et al.*, 1999; Watanabe and Nurse, 1999; Warren *et al.*, 2000). In this study, it is shown that *A. thaliana*, like *C. elegans* (Pasierbek *et al.*, 2001) and rice, has three *RAD21* homologues in addition to the meiotic *REC8* homologue (Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999; Pasierbek *et al.*, 2001).

All three AtRAD21 proteins have NLS, as would be expected of proteins likely to be involved in cohesion of sister chromatids (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1995; Uhlmann and Nasmyth, 1998; Losada and Hirano, 2001). All three AtRAD21 proteins are also expected to be unstable as they have potential PEST sequences and are predicted to have several phosphorylation sites that may be important for their function. In yeast, RAD21 is hyperphosphorylated and is subsequently rapidly degraded to release sister chromatid cohesion (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1995; Uhlmann *et al.*, 1999, 2000; Tomonaga *et al.*, 2000). In addition to these sites, the presence of putative separase cleavage sites in AtRAD21.1, originally identified for SCC1 (a RAD21 homologue) (Uhlmann *et al.*, 2000; Hauf *et al.*, 2001), suggests that AtRAD21.1 may also be subjected to regulation via an ESP1 cleavage pathway. Although consensus ESP1 cleavage sites were not identified in the AtRAD21.2 and AtRAD21.3 proteins, it is possible that these plant RAD21 proteins may have a slightly different ESP1 cleavage site from those based on the animal and yeast consensus sequences (Fig. 1). As *Arabidopsis* has a putative ESP1 homologue (Jones and Sgouros, 2001; At4g22970), it is speculated that the protease-mediated putative cleavage of *Arabidopsis* RAD21 proteins is also likely to be a feature involved in the regulation of their function. Thus, the mechanism of chromosome cohesion release via ESP1 cleavage of cohesion which is conserved in other organisms could also be conserved in *Arabidopsis*.

The AtRAD21.1 protein is involved in DSB DNA repair and may share redundant functions with other family members during cell division

In *Arabidopsis*, RT-PCR analysis of the expression for three AtRAD21 genes shows that all three (AtRAD21.1 to AtRAD21.3) are expressed in tissues with dividing cells (Fig. 2), as would be expected for genes predicted to be involved in chromosome cohesion. As *DIF1/SYN1* (*REC8* homologue) is expressed in vegetative and reproductive cells (Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999), the overlapping expression pattern of the *REC8/RAD21* genes in *Arabidopsis* could thus provide redundancy in one or more functions at different stages of development, namely in meristematic tissue. This appears to be the case as the *atr21.1 atr21.3* double mutant develops normally and is fully fertile, as would be expected for genes that are at least partially redundant.

For one of the *Arabidopsis* RAD21 genes, AtRAD21.1, an increase in expression is specifically induced by both ionizing radiation (this study) and radiation mimic agents (West *et al.*, 2004), suggesting a role in DNA DSB repair. Furthermore, expression data from microarray (Affymetrix25K – www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/microarray_database) Genevestigator (<http://www.genevestigator.ethz.ch/>;

Zimmermann *et al.*, 2004), and AtGenExpress (<http://web.uni-frankfurt.de/fb15/botanik/mcb/AFGN/atgenex.htm>) emphasize that the increase in AtRAD21.1 gene expression after exposure to ionizing radiation is probably induced directly by DNA DSB damage rather than by oxidative damage (caused by ionizing radiation) or other types DNA damage; exposure to oxidative stress *per se*, UV-A, UV-B, and UV-A+B do not lead to an increase of AtRAD21.1 gene expression.

Although this study's analysis does not demonstrate that the increase in AtRAD21.1 transcript results in a corresponding increase in the pool of AtRAD21.1 protein at sites of DNA damage, similar to that described in yeast (Ünal *et al.*, 2004; Ström *et al.*, 2004), the hypersensitivity of *atr21.1* mutants to ionizing radiation inducing DNA DSB damage and its expression enhancement as an emergency response to ionizing (and ionizing mimicking agents) suggest a role for AtRAD21.1 in DNA repair, possibly by facilitating repair between homologous sister chromatids. As the radiation-hypersensitive phenotype was only observed in *atr21.1* plants that developed from irradiated seeds, but not in mutant plants that were irradiated after germination, it is speculated that expression of AtRAD21.1 in the irradiated seeds is critical for a normal response to DNA damage. It is known that seed desiccation results in genome damage, which requires DNA repair during the seed imbibing/germination stage (Britt, 1996; Dandoy *et al.*, 1987). Finally, during embryogenesis there is a 5–10-fold increase in gene expression of AtRAD21.1 while the amounts of the other AtRAD21 transcripts remain unaltered in the later stages of embryo development (microarray data from AtGenExpress: <http://web.uni-frankfurt.de/fb15/botanik/mcb/AFGN/atgenex.htm>); these data, taken in conjunction with the radiation-hypersensitive phenotype of *atr21.21* mutants and the increase in AtRAD21.1 transcripts by radiation damage, support such a role for AtRAD21.1 in the repair of DNA damage specifically during seed imbibing/germination. Irradiated *atr21.1* seedlings fail to show radiation hypersensitivity, possibly because one of the other RAD21 homologues could provide redundancy at this stage.

The increase in steady-state mRNA levels of AtRAD21.1 after γ -irradiation of *Arabidopsis* is not unique, as it has also been observed for several other genes like *MIM*, *AtLigIV*, *AtGR1*, *AtRAD51*, *AtBRCA1*, and *AtKu80* after exposure to DNA DSB inducing agents (Klimyuk and Jones, 1997; Doutriaux *et al.*, 1998; Mengiste *et al.*, 1999; Deveau *et al.*, 2000; Hanin *et al.*, 2000; West *et al.*, 2000; Friesner and Britt, 2003; Gallego *et al.*, 2003; Lafarge and Montané, 2003). These genes are involved in either homologous recombination (HR) or non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) DNA repair of DNA DSB. Of these, mutants for *MIM*, *AtLigIV*, *AtKu80*, like *atr21.1* mutants, display a hypersensitive phenotype after exposure to DSB-inducing agents.

Apart from the slower bolting phenotype of the *atrada21.3* mutants (salk_076116) no other vegetative, or reproductive defects could be identified, probably due to the function provided by the two other *AtRAD21* genes, or that of the *REC8* homologue *DIF1/SYN1* in vegetative tissues. Since *AtRAD21.3* is expressed at high levels and is not altered by radiation, it may be involved in basic structural roles, perhaps associated with the nuclear matrix and/or sister chromatid cohesion (Sadano *et al.*, 2000). If this is the case, its possible role in chromosome structural maintenance could explain the general delay in development, especially the delayed bolting phenotype of the *atrada21.3* mutant.

Our analysis of *atrada21.1* and *atrada21.3* single and double mutants suggests that the functions provided by these two *AtRAD21* genes are redundant with that of other *RAD21/REC8* family members for vegetative development and fecundity of *Arabidopsis*. It is possible that, apart from these two *AtRAD21* genes, either *AtRAD21.2*, or the *REC8* homologue *DIF1/SYN1*, both of which are expressed in overlapping domains with *AtRAD21.1* and *AtRAD21.3* could provide such redundant function (Bai *et al.*, 1999; Bhatt *et al.*, 1999; Dong *et al.*, 2001). However, *AtRAD21.1* does have a specific function in DNA repair, evident only after mutant seeds are exposed to ionizing radiation, which is not compensated for by the expression of *AtRAD21.3*. This is in accordance with the fact that it was not possible to identify a vegetative phenotype in *atrada21.1 atrada21.3* double mutants. The analysis of *Arabidopsis RAD21* genes in mitosis may require the generation of plants mutant for all three *RAD21* genes and/or a quadruple mutant deficient in *RAD21* and *REC8* functions. This should reveal if indeed *AtRAD21* proteins have any role in sister chromatid cohesion during mitosis or meiosis.

Accession numbers

The sequences of the *AtRAD21* genes (Col-0) have the following NCBI accession numbers: AF400126 (*AtRAD21.1* variant 1), AF400127 (*AtRAD21.1* variant 2), AF400128 (*AtRAD21.2*), and AF400129 (*AtRAD21.3*).

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Acknowledgements

We are most thankful to Dr I Moore (for advice), Professor Dr P Cook (γ -rays source; University of Oxford, UK), Drs S Ferrari and M El-Shemerly (X-ray source; University of Zürich, Switzerland), and to Dr P Talhinas (ISA, Lisboa, Portugal) for his help on phylogeny tree construction. We are also grateful to the program PRAXIS XXI (Portugal) for providing the funding (scholarship

PRAXIS XXI/BD/9484/96) to JA da C-N. Funding in HGD's laboratory is provided by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, UK, and in UG's laboratory is provided by the Swiss National Science Foundation and the University of Zürich.

References

- Alexandru G, Uhlmann F, Mechtler K, Poupert MA, Nasmyth K. 2001. Phosphorylation of the cohesin subunit Scc1 by Polo/Cdc5 kinase regulates sister chromatid separation in yeast. *Cell* **105**, 459–472.
- Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, *et al.* 2003. Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* **301**, 653–657.
- Bai X, Peirson BN, Dong F, Xue C, Makaroff C. 1999. Isolation and characterization of *SYN1*, a *RAD21*-like gene essential for meiosis in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* **11**, 417–430.
- Batoko H, Zheng HQ, Hawes C, Moore I. 2000. A Rab1 GTPase is required for transport between endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus and for normal Golgi movement in plants. *The Plant Cell* **12**, 2201–2217.
- Bhatt AM, Lister C, Page T, Fransz P, Findlay K, Jones G, Dickinson H, Dean C. 1999. The *DIF1* gene of *Arabidopsis* is required for meiotic chromosome segregation and belongs to the *REC8/RAD21* cohesin gene family. *The Plant Journal* **19**, 463–472.
- Birkenbihl RP, Subramani S. 1992. Cloning and characterization of *rad21* an essential gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* involved in DNA double-strand-break repair. *Nucleic Acids Research* **20**, 6605–6611.
- Birkenbihl RP, Subramani S. 1995. The *rad21* gene product of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* is a nuclear, cell cycle-regulated phosphoprotein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **270**, 7703–7711.
- Boulton SJ, Gartner A, Reboul J, Vaglio P, Dyson N, Hill DE, Vidal M. 2002. Combined functional genomic maps of the *C. elegans* DNA damage response. *Science* **295**, 127–131.
- Bowman J. 1994. *Arabidopsis. An atlas of morphology and development*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 133–332.
- Britt A. 1996. DNA damage and repair in plants. *Annual Review Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **47**, 75–100.
- Britt AB. 1999. Molecular genetics of DNA repair in higher plants. *Trends in Plant Science* **4**, 20–25.
- Chan RC, Chan A, Jeon M, Wu TF, Pasqualone D, Rougvie AE, Meyer BJ. 2003. Chromosome cohesion is regulated by a clock gene paralogue *TIM-1*. *Nature* **423**, 1002–1009.
- Ciosk R, Shirayama M, Shevchenko A, Tanaka T, Tóth A, Shevchenko A, Nasmyth K. 2000. Cohesin's binding to chromosomes depends on a separate complex consisting of Scc2 and Scc4 proteins. *Molecular Cell* **5**, 243–254.
- Ciosk R, Zachariae W, Michaelis C, Shevchenko A, Mann M, Nasmyth K. 1998. An ESP1/PDS1 complex regulates loss of sister chromatid cohesion at the metaphase to anaphase transition in yeast. *Cell* **93**, 1067–1076.
- Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998. Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **16**, 735–743.
- Dandoy E, Schyns R, Deltour L, Verly WG. 1987. Appearance and repair of apurinic/apyrimidinic sites in DNA during early germination. *Mutation Research* **181**, 57–60.
- Devaux Y, Alonso B, Pierrugues O, Godon C, Kazmaier M. 2000. Molecular cloning and developmental expression of *AtGRI*, a new growth-related *Arabidopsis* gene strongly induced by ionizing radiation. *Radiation Research* **154**, 355–364.

- Dong F, Cai X, Makaroff CA. 2001. Cloning and characterization of two *Arabidopsis* genes that belong to the RAD21/REC8 family of chromosome cohesion proteins. *Gene* **271**, 99–108.
- Doutriaux MP, Couteau F, Bergounioux C, White C. 1998. Isolation and characterization of the *RAD51* and *DMC1* homologues from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular and General Genetics* **257**, 283–291.
- Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson C. 1991. A simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant genomic DNA for PCR analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* **19**, 1349.
- Felsenstein J. 1993. PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) version 3.5c, distributed by the author. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Friesner J, Britt A. 2003. *Ku80*- and *DNA ligase IV*-deficient plants are sensitive to ionising radiation and defective in T-DNA integration. *The Plant Journal* **34**, 427–440.
- Gallego ME, Bleuyard JY, Daoudal-Cotterell S, Jallut N, White CI. 2003. *Ku80* plays a role in non-homologous recombination but is not required for T-DNA integration in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* **35**, 557–565.
- Guacci V, Koshland D, Strunnikov A. 1997. A direct link between sister chromatid cohesion and chromosome condensation revealed through the analysis of *MCD1* in *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* **91**, 47–57.
- Hanin M, Mengiste T, Bogucki A, Paszkowski J. 2000. Elevated levels of intrachromosomal homologous recombination in *Arabidopsis* overexpressing the *MIM* gene. *The Plant Journal* **24**, 183–189.
- Hauf S, Waizenegger IC, Peters JM. 2001. Cohesion cleavage by separase required for anaphase and cytokinesis in human cells. *Science* **293**, 1320–1323.
- Heo S, Tatebayashi K, Kato J, Ikeda H. 1998. The *RHC21* gene of budding yeast, a homologue of the fission yeast *rad21*⁺ gene, is essential for chromosome segregation. *Molecular and General Genetics* **257**, 149–156.
- Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW. 1987. *GUS* fusions: β -glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *The EMBO Journal* **6**, 3901–3907.
- Jones JD, Shlumukov L, Carland F, English J, Scofield SR, Bishop GJ, Harrison K. 1992. Effective vectors for transformation, expression of heterologous genes, and assaying transposon excision in transgenic plants. *Transgenic Research* **1**, 285–297.
- Jones S, Sgouros J. 2001. The cohesin complex: sequence homologies, interaction networks and shared motif. *Genome Biology* **2**, Research 0009.1–0009.12.
- Kim JS, Krasieva TB, LaMorte V, Taylor AM, Yokomori K. 2002. Specific recruitment of human cohesin to laser-induced DNA damage. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**, 45149–45153.
- Klein F, Mahr P, Galova M, Bonomo SBC, Michaelis C, Nairz K, Nasmyth K. 1999. A central role for cohesins in sister chromatid cohesion, formation of axial elements, and recombination during yeast meiosis. *Cell* **98**, 91–103.
- Klimyuk VI, Jones JDG. 1997. *AtDMC1*, the *Arabidopsis* homologue of yeast *DMC1* gene: characterization, transposon-induced allelic variation and meiosis-associated expression. *The Plant Journal* **11**, 1–14.
- Knight H, Veale E, Warren GJ, Knight MR. 1999. The *sfr6* mutation in *Arabidopsis* suppresses low-temperature induction of genes dependent on the CRT/DRE sequence motif. *The Plant Cell* **11**, 875–886.
- Lafarge S, Montané MH. 2003. Characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ortholog of the human breast cancer susceptibility gene 1: *AtBRCA1*, strongly induced by gamma rays. *Nucleic Acids Research* **31**, 1148–1155.
- Logemann J, Schell J, Willmitzer L. 1987. Improved method for the isolation of RNA from plant tissues. *Analytical Biochemistry* **163**, 16–20.
- Losada A, Hirano T. 2001. Intermolecular DNA interactions stimulated by the cohesin complex *in vitro*: implications for sister chromatid cohesion. *Current Biology* **11**, 268–272.
- Losada A, Hirano M, Hirano T. 1998. Identification of *Xenopus* SMC protein complexes required for sister chromatid cohesion. *Genes and Development* **12**, 1986–1997.
- Losada A, Yokoshi T, Kobayashi R, Hirano T. 2000. Identification and characterization of SA/Scp3p subunits in the *Xenopus* and human cohesin complexes. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **150**, 405–416.
- Mayer ML, Gygi SP, Aebersold R, Hieter P. 2001. Identification of RFC(Ctf18p, Ctf8p, Dcc1p): an alternative RFC complex required for sister chromatid cohesion in *S. cerevisiae*. *Molecular Cell* **7**, 959–970.
- McElver J, Tzafrir I, Aux G, et al. 2001. Insertional mutagenesis of genes required for seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* **159**, 1751–1763.
- McKay MJ, Troelstra C, van der Spek P, Kanaar R, Smit B, Hagemeyer A, Bootsma D, Hoeijmakers JHJ. 1996. Sequence conservation of the *rad21* *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* DNA double-strand break repair gene in human and mouse. *Genomics* **36**, 305–315.
- Mengiste T, Revenkova E, Bechtold N, Paszkowski J. 1999. An SMC-like protein is required for efficient homologous recombination in *Arabidopsis*. *The EMBO Journal* **18**, 4505–4512.
- Mercier R, Armstrong SJ, Horlow C, Jackson NP, Makaroff CA, Vezon D, Pelletier G, Jones GH, Franklin CH. 2003. The meiotic protein SWI1 is required for axial element formation and recombination initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* **130**, 3309–3318.
- Michaelis C, Ciosk R, Nasmyth K. 1997. Cohesins: chromosomal proteins that prevent premature separation of sister chromatids. *Cell* **91**, 35–45.
- Mito Y, Sugimoto A, Yamamoto M. 2003. Distinct developmental functions of two *Caenorhabditis elegans* homologs of the cohesin subunit Scc1/Rad21. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **14**, 2399–2409.
- Molnar M, Bähler J, Sipiczki M, Kohli J. 1995. The *rec8* gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* is involved in linear element formation, chromosome pairing and sister-chromatic cohesion during meiosis. *Genetics* **141**, 61–73.
- Oppenheimer DG, Haas N, Silflow CD, Snustad DP. 1988. The β -tubulin gene family of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: preferential accumulation of the $\beta 1$ transcript in root. *Gene* **63**, 87–102.
- Pasierbek P, Jantsch M, Melcher M, Schleiffer A, Schweizer D, Loidl J. 2001. A *Caenorhabditis elegans* cohesion protein with functions in meiotic chromosome pairing and disjunction. *Genes and Development* **15**, 1349–1360.
- Person WR, Wood T, Zhang Z, Miller W. 1997. Comparison of DNA sequences with protein sequences. *Genomics* **46**, 24–36.
- Rao H, Uhlmann F, Nasmyth K, Varshavsky A. 2001. Degradation of a cohesin subunit by the N-end rule pathway is essential for chromosome stability. *Nature* **410**, 955–959.
- Rechsteiner M, Rogers SW. 1996. PEST sequences and regulation by proteolysis. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **21**, 267–271.
- Rodrigues-Pousada RA, De Rycke R, Dedonder A, Caeneghem WV, Engler G, Van Montagu M, Van Der Straeten D. 1993. The *Arabidopsis* 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase gene 1 is expressed during early development. *The Plant Cell* **5**, 897–911.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd edn. Nolan C, ed. Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press.
- Sadano H, Sugimoto H, Sakai F, Nomura N, Osumi T. 2000. NXP-1, a human protein related to Rad21/Scp1/Mcd1, is

- a component of the nuclear matrix. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **267**, 418–422.
- Sjögren C, Nasmyth K.** 2001. Sister chromatid cohesion is required for postreplicative double-strand break repair in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Current Biology* **11**, 991–995.
- Skibbens RV, Corson LB, Koshland D, Hieter P.** 1999. Ctf7p is essential for sister chromatid cohesion and links mitotic chromosome structure to the DNA replication machinery. *Genes and Development* **13**, 307–319.
- Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM.** 1990. Early flower development in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* **2**, 755–767.
- Snustad DP, Haas NA, Kopczak SD, Silflow CD.** 1992. The small genome of *Arabidopsis* contains at least nine expressed β -tubulin genes. *The Plant Cell* **4**, 549–556.
- Sonoda E, Matsusaka T, Morrison C, et al.** 2001. Scc1/Rad21/Mcd1 is required for sister chromatid cohesion and kinetochore function in vertebrate cells. *Developmental Cell* **1**, 759–770.
- Ström L, Lindroos HB, Shirahige K, Sjögren C.** 2004. Post-replicative recruitment of cohesion to double-strand breaks is required for DNA repair. *Molecular Cell* **16**, 1003–1015.
- Sumara I, Vorlaufer E, Gieffers C, Peters BH, Peters JM.** 2000. Characterization of vertebrate cohesin complexes and their regulation in prophase. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **151**, 749–761.
- The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative.** 2000. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plants *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **408**, 796–815.
- Tomonaga T, Nagao K, Kawasaki Y, et al.** 2000. Characterization of fission yeast cohesin: essential anaphase proteolysis of Rad21 phosphorylated in S phase. *Genes and Development* **14**, 2757–2770.
- Tóth A, Ciosk R, Uhlmann F, Galova M, Schleiffer A, Nasmyth K.** 1999. Yeast cohesin complex requires a conserved protein, Eco1p(Ctf7) to establish cohesion between sister chromatids during DNA replication. *Genes and Development* **13**, 320–333.
- Uhlmann F, Lottspeich F, Nasmyth K.** 1999. Sister-chromatid separation at anaphase onset is promoted by cleavage of the cohesin subunit Scc1. *Nature* **400**, 37–42.
- Uhlmann F, Nasmyth K.** 1998. Cohesion between sister chromatids must be established during DNA replication. *Current Biology* **8**, 1095–1101.
- Uhlmann F, Wernic D, Poupard MA, Koonin EV, Nasmyth K.** 2000. Cleavage of the cohesin by the CD clan protease separin triggers anaphase in yeast. *Cell* **103**, 375–386.
- Ünal E, Arbel-Eden A, Sattler U, Shroff R, Lichten M, Haber JE, Koshland D.** 2004. DNA damage response pathway uses histone modification to assemble double-strand break-specific cohesion domain. *Molecular Cell* **16**, 991–1002.
- Waizenegger IC, Hauf S, Meinke A, Peters JM.** 2000. Two distinct pathways remove mammalian cohesion from chromosome arms in prophase and from centromeres at anaphase. *Cell* **103**, 399–410.
- Warren WD, Lin E, Nheu TV, Hime GR, McKay MJ.** 2000. *Drad21*, a *Drosophila rad21* homologue expressed in S-phase cells. *Gene* **250**, 77–84.
- Watanabe Y, Nurse P.** 1999. Cohesion *Rec8* is required for reductional chromosome segregation at meiosis. *Nature* **400**, 461–464.
- West CE, Waterworth WM, Jiang Q, Bray CM.** 2000. *Arabidopsis* DNA ligase IV is induced by γ -irradiation and interacts with an *Arabidopsis* homologue of the double strand break repair protein XRCC4. *The Plant Journal* **24**, 67–78.
- West CE, Waterworth WM, Story GW, Sunderland PA, Jiang Q, Bray CM.** 2002. Disruption of the *Arabidopsis AtKu80* gene demonstrates an essential role for AtKu80 protein in efficient repair of DNA double-strand breaks *in vivo*. *The Plant Journal* **31**, 517–528.
- West CE, Waterworth WM, Sunderland PA, Bray CM.** 2004. *Arabidopsis* DNA double strand-break repair pathways. *Biochemical Society Transactions* **32**, 964–966.
- Zimmermann P, Hirsch-Hoffmann M, Hennig L, Gruissem W.** 2004. GENEVESTIGATOR. *Arabidopsis* microarray database and analysis toolbox. *Plant Physiology* **136**, 2621–2632.